

---

# I-0412: Configuration Items In The Absence Of Configuration Management

---

TYPE: NIAP Interpretation  
NUMBER: I-0412  
STATUS: Posted for External Review

TITLE: Configuration Items In The Absence Of Configuration Management

WOULD SUPERSEDE: [I-0338](#)  
COMMENTS DUE BY: Configuration Items In The Absence Of Explicit Scope  
Tuesday, May 29, 2001 to [IWG@gibraltar.ncsc.mil](mailto:IWG@gibraltar.ncsc.mil)

SOURCE REFERENCE: CC v2.1 Part 3 Subclause 8.2 ACM\_CAP  
CC v2.1 Part 3 Subclause 8.2 ACM\_CAP.2

RELATED TO: [I-0338](#)  
Configuration Items In The Absence Of Explicit Scope

## ISSUE:

The content and presentation of evidence elements introduced at ACM\_CAP.2 all deal with uniquely identifying all items that make up the TOE and having their descriptions in a configuration list. This configuration list is contained in the CM documentation, which is required by ACM\_CAP.2.3D. However, there are no requirements that formal configuration management (as is implied by the term "CM System") be performed on any of these items. Hence, the use of the term "CM system" creates confusion.

## STATEMENT

ACM\_CAP.2 does not require the presence of a CM system.

## SPECIFIC INTERPRETATION:

To address this interpretation, the following changes are made to CC v2.1 Part 3:

- Delete ACM\_CAP.2.2D.
- Replace ACM\_CAP.2.6C with the following (additions shown thusly; deletions shown ~~thusly~~):

ACM\_CAP.2.6C-~~NIAP-0412~~ NIAP-0412 The ~~CM system~~ configuration list shall uniquely identify all configuration items.

Additionally, the following change is made to the Part 2, Subclause 8.2, Paragraph 254 (additions shown thusly; deletions shown ~~thusly~~):

~~ACM\_CAP.2.6C~~ ACM\_CAP.2.6C-~~NIAP-0412~~ NIAP-0412 introduces a requirement that the ~~CM system~~ configuration list uniquely identify all configuration items. This also requires that modifications to configuration items result in a new, unique identifier being assigned.

## FURTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

As this makes changes to components included in EAL1 through EAL4, the CEM must be examined to determine if these changes impact the v1.0 wording. The CEM also must be updated to reflect the replaced element names, and to remove work units for deleted elements.

## PROJECTED IMPACT:

Negligible impact anticipated.

## **SUPPORT:**

The use of the term "CM system" in ACM\_CAP.2 implies a dependence upon a formal CM system. However, there is no requirement for such a system at ACM\_CAP.2, as confirmed by the Common Evaluation Methodology v1.0 Part 2. In the methodology for ACM\_CAP.2, the CEM does not impose any evaluator actions with respect to verifying use or presence of a CM system. In fact, the EAL2 work unit for ACM\_CAP.2.6C (the only content and presentation of evidence element to refer to a CM system) requires a check only on the configuration list, not the CM system.

The requirements of the CEM lead to the conclusion that the goal of ACM\_CAP.2 is to ensure that an unambiguous list of all configuration items that comprise the TOE be maintained, but not that there necessarily be a full blown CM system in place to manage changes to those components. This interpretation adjusts the wording of ACM\_CAP.2 to clarify this intent.